RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT OF THE GENETIC MODIFICATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC) *FOR* AN APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL FOR RELEASE OF PRODUCTS OF MON87429 MAIZE FOR SUPPLY OR

OFFER TO SUPPLY

NBB REF NO: JBK(S) 600-2/1/24 APPLICANT: BAYER CO. (MALAYSIA) SDN. BHD. DATE: 29 SEPTEMBER 2022

I - Summary of Assessment Process

On 14 July 2022, the Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC, please refer to Appendix 1 for details of GMAC) received from the Department of Biosafety an application for the approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism, dicamba, glufosinate, quizalofop and 2,4-dicholorophenoxyacetic acid tolerant MON 87429 maize with tissue-specific glyphosate tolerance. The application was filed by Bayer Co. (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd. (hereafter referred to as "the applicant"). After an initial review, GMAC requested for additional information from the applicant.

A public consultation for this application was conducted from 14 February 2022 to 15 March 2022 via advertisements in the local newspapers, e-mail announcements and social media. Comments were received from Consumers Association of Penang (CAP), Agro-Biotechnology Institute Malaysia (ABI), Malaysia Palm Oil Board (MPOB), Federation of Malaysian Consumers Associations (FOMCA), The Malaysian Agroecology Society for Sustainable Resource Intensification (SRI-Mas) and the Food Security and Sovereignty Forum (FKMM). GMAC took into consideration the comments that were relevant to the risk assessment including concern that four (4) genes were stacked to create the LMO, possible unintended changes in the genome, possible genetic contamination to conventional corn, possible higher level of survivability, use of the genetic manipulation technology per se, unintentional release and spillage and lack of information about this event, as it is relatively "new".

GMAC had four (4) meetings pertaining to this application and prepared the Risk Assessment Report and Risk Assessment Matrix along with its recommended decision, for consideration by the National Biosafety Board.

II - Background of Application

This application is for approval to import and release products of a Living Modified Organism, dicamba, glufosinate, quizalofop and 2,4-dicholorophenoxyacetic acid tolerant MON87429 maize with tissue-specific glyphosate tolerance. The aim of the import and release is to supply or offer to supply for sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP). The earliest approval for MON87429 maize for food was in 2020 by Australia and New Zealand followed by Argentina, Canada, Japan and Philippines in 2021 for food and feed. MON 95379 maize may be imported into Malaysia as food and feed products or as grain for further processing. The application does not cover deliberate environmental release in Malaysia.

Information about MON87429 maize

MON87429 maize was developed to confer tolerance to the herbicides dicamba, glufosinate, aryloxyphenoxypropionate (AOPP) acetyl coenzyme A carboxylase (ACCase) inhibitors (so called "FOPs" herbicides such as quizalofop) and 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D). In

addition, it provides tissue-specific glyphosate tolerance to facilitate the production of hybrid maize seeds.

MON 87429 contains a demethylase gene (*dmo*) from *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* that expresses a dicamba mono-oxygenase (DMO) protein which confers tolerance to dicamba herbicide, phosphinothricin-N-acetyltransferase (*pat*) gene from *Streptomyces viridochromogenes* that expresses the PAT protein conferring tolerance to glufosinate herbicide and the *ft_t* gene, a modified version of the R-2,4-dichlorophenoxypropionate dioxygenase (*Rdpa*) gene from *Sphingobium herbicidovorans*, that expresses a FOPs and 2,4-D dioxygenase protein (FT_T) that confers tolerance to FOPs and 2,4-D herbicides. MON 87429 maize also contains a *cp4 epsps* gene from *Agrobacterium sp.* strain CP4 which produces the 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase protein (CP4 EPSPS) for glyphosate tolerance in all tissues except pollen.

Information and data from studies demonstrate that the DMO, PAT, FT_T and CP4 EPSPS proteins are unlikely to be allergens or toxins or other biologically active proteins. This is based on the assessment of the donor organisms, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* strain DI-6, *Streptomyces viridochromogenes*, *Sphingobium herbicidovorans* and *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain CP4 which are not known for human or animal toxicity, and are not commonly allergenic (Heller et al., 2016; Lira et al., 2017; Kämpfer, 2006; Takeuchi et al., 2001; Chaudhary et al., 2017; FAO-WHO, 2001).

III - Risk Assessment and Risk Management Plan

GMAC evaluated the application with reference to the following documents:

- (i) CODEX Guideline for the Conduct of Food Safety Assessment of Foods Derived from Recombinant-DNA Plants
- Roadmap for Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms, (according to Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety produced by the *Ad Hoc* Technical Expert Group (AHTEG) on Risk Assessment and Risk Management of the Convention on Biological Diversity)
- (iii) The risk assessment and risk management plan submitted by the applicant

GMAC also referred to the following recommendations within the AHTEG guidelines:

- (i) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the details of this particular application
- (ii) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the receiving environment in question
- (iii) That any risk identified be compared against that posed by the unmodified organism

In conducting the risk assessment, GMAC identified potential hazards, and then added a value/rank for the likelihood of each hazard as well as its consequences. The likelihood of each hazard occurring was evaluated qualitatively on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'highly unlikely', and 4 for 'highly likely'. The consequences of each hazard, if it were to occur, were then evaluated on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'marginal' and 4 to denote a 'major consequence'. A value was finally assigned for the overall risk from the identified potential hazard. The general formula: Overall Risk = Likelihood x Consequence was employed. GMAC also proposed risk management strategies for potential hazards, where appropriate. This methodology of assessment follows the procedure of Risk Assessment in Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.

The potential hazards were identified in three main areas:

(i) <u>Effects on human health</u>

Relevant scientific publications on the genetic modifications were reviewed for potential human health risks and issues pertaining to acute toxicity of novel protein/ altering/interference of metabolic pathways, potential allergenicity of the novel protein, reproductive toxicity, potential transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in digestive tract, pathogenic potential of donor microorganisms, nutritional equivalence and anti-nutritional properties.

(ii) <u>Effects on animal health</u>

Relevant scientific publications on the genetic modifications were reviewed for potential animal health risks and issues pertaining to allergenicity, toxicity, antinutritional, survivability and animal product contamination.

(iii) <u>Effects on the environment</u>

Relevant scientific publications on the genetic modifications were reviewed for potential environmental risks and issues pertaining to accidental release of seeds, unintentional release and planting, potential of transgenes being transferred to bacteria (soil bacteria, bacterial flora of animal gut), increased fitness, weediness and invasiveness, accumulation of the protein in the environment via feces from animals fed with the GM plant/seed and cross pollination leading to transfer of transgenes.

Based on the above, a final list of 20 potential hazards were identified. Most of these hazards were rated as having an Overall Risk of 1 or "negligible".

GMAC also took caution and discussed a few of the hazards that required further evaluation and data acquisition. Some of these risks are expected to be managed effectively with the risk management strategies proposed (please refer to section IV of this document).

Some of the potential hazards are highlighted below along with the appropriate management strategies:

a) Accidental release of viable seeds

Seeds may be accidentally released during transportation. These seeds can germinate and grow along transportation routes and in areas surrounding storage and processing facilities (JBK Report Number No. 04, 2015). In the conducive warm and humid climate of Malaysia, there is a high likelihood of these volunteers maturing to the flowering and seed-setting stages. Although maize is not grown as an economic crop in Malaysia and there are no wild relatives, some varieties of baby corn and sweet corn are cultivated in small scales. Thus, there is a likelihood of outcrossing of the GM maize with these cultivated maize. Repeated cycles of spill-and-growth also increase the likelihood for the development of feral GM populations.

Any spillage shall be collected and cleaned up immediately. Transportation of the consignment must be in secured and closed conditions.

b) Planting of seeds

Plants may be grown by uninformed farmers and perpetuated through small scale cultivations. These GM maize may pollinate the non-GM baby corn and/or sweetcorn.

There should also be clear labeling of the product to state that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing, and is not to be used as planting material.

c) <u>Nutritional equivalence</u>

No major significant differences between MON87429 maize and conventional maize were reported from proximate analysis, analysis of fibre, amino acids, key nutrients and antinutrients present in maize. The composition of MON87429 maize is comparable to that of the conventional maize control.

However, applicant is required to update the National Biosafety Board immediately if additional tests indicate potential adverse effects or the possible presence of toxin or allergenic proteins.

IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval

Based on the 20 potential hazards identified and assessed, GMAC has drawn up the following terms and conditions to be included in the certificate of approval for the release of this product:

- a) There shall be clear documentation by the exporter describing the product which shall be declared to the Royal Malaysian Customs.
- b) There shall be clear labeling of the product from importation to all levels of marketing stating that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing and is not to be used as planting material.

- c) Should the approved person receive any credible and/or scientifically proven information that indicates any adverse effect of MON87429 maize, the National Biosafety Board shall be informed immediately.
- d) Any spillage (during loading/unloading/transportation) shall be collected and cleaned up immediately.
- e) Transportation of the consignment from the port of entry to any destination within the country shall be in secured and closed conditions.
- f) Any import or release of products derived from any new genetically modified lines bred using MON87429 maize will require a separate approval from the National Biosafety Board.

V - Other Regulatory Considerations

- a) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety, Royal Malaysian Customs Department and relevant agencies to ensure accurate declaration of product information and clear labeling of the product is implemented.
- b) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) to impose post entry requirements for accidental spillage involving the GM product.
- c) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) and other competent agencies to impose post entry requirements for food safety compliance.
- d) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out between the Department of Biosafety and the Department of Veterinary Services (DVS) so that any unanticipated adverse effects in animals caused by any consumption of the GM products shall be reported immediately.
- e) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out by Food Safety and Quality of Ministry of Health to monitor compliance to the Food Act 1983 and Food Regulations 1985.
- f) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between Department of Biosafety and Ministry of Health to ensure that herbicide residues in maize consignments are below the maximum residual level established.

VI - Identification of issues to be addressed for release and long term use of this product

a) Continuous monitoring is required from the approved person and any unanticipated adverse effect caused by the MON87429 maize shall be reported to the National Biosafety Board.

VII – Conclusion and Recommendation

GMAC has conducted a thorough evaluation of the application for approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism, dicamba, glufosinate, quizalofop and 2,4-dicholorophenoxyacetic acid tolerant MON87429 maize with tissue-specific glyphosate tolerance, and has determined that the release of this product does not endanger biological diversity or human, animal and plant health. GMAC recommends that the proposed application for release be **APPROVED WITH TERMS AND CONDITIONS** as listed in section IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval.

VIII – Bibliography

- 1. Alarcón, A., F.T. Davies, R.L. Autenrieth and D.A. Zuberer. 2008. Arbuscular mycorrhiza and petroleum-degrading microorganisms enhance phytoremediation of petroleum-contaminated soil. International Journal of Phytoremediation 10:251-263.
- 2. An, S.-q. and G. Berg. 2018. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Trends in Microbiology 26:637-638.
- 3. Berg, G., N. Roskot and K. Smalla. 1999. Genotypic and phenotypic relationships between clinical and environmental isolates of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 37:3594-3600.
- 4. Berg, G. and J.L. Martinez. 2015 Friends or foes: can we make a distinction between beneficial and harmful strains of the Stenotrophomonas maltophilia complex? Front. Microbiol. 6:241.
- 5. Brooke, J.S., G. Di Bonaventura, G. Berg and J.-L. Martinez. 2017. Editorial: A multidisciplinary look at Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: An emerging multi-drug-resistant global opportunistic pathogen. Frontiers in Microbiology 8:1511.
- Calcaterra, J. 2018. Assessment of the in vitro Digestibility of Escherichia coli (E. coli)produced FT_T Protein by Pepsin and Pancreatin. Monsanto Technical Report MSL0029802. St. Louis, Missouri.
- 7. Chaudhary, D.K., S.-W. Jeong and J. Kim. 2017. Sphingobium naphthae sp nov., with the ability to degrade aliphatic hydrocarbons, isolated from oil-contaminated soil. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 67:2986-2993.
- Chen, B. and C. Wang. 2019. Assessment of the in vitro Digestibility of Phosphinothricin N-Acetyltransferase Protein by Pepsin and Pancreatin. Monsanto Technical Report MSL0030203. St. Louis, Missouri.
- 9. Conner AJ, Glare TR, Nap J-P (2003) The release of genetically modified crops into the environment. Part II. Overview of ecological risk assessment. The Plant Journal 33: 19-46
- 10. Crawley, M.J., S.L. Brown, R.S. Hails, D.D. Koh and M. Rees. 2001. Transgenic crops in natural habitats. Nature 409:682-683.
- 11. Cross, T. 1989. Other genera. Pages 2586-2615 in Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. Volume 4. S.T. Williams and M.E. Sharpe (eds.). Williams & Wilkins, Balitmore, Maryland.
- 12. Cunha, B.A. 2009. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. WebMD, LLC, New York, New York. http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/237024-print [Accessed August 6, 2010].
- 13. Denton, M. and K.G. Kerr. 1998. Microbiological and clinical aspects of infection associated with Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 11:57-80.
- Denton, M., N.J. Todd, K.G. Kerr, P.M. Hawkey and J.M. Littlewood. 1998. Molecular epidemiology of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia isolated from clinical specimens from patients with cystic fibrosis and associated environmental samples. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 36:1953-1958.
- 15. de Vries J, Wackernagel W (2004) Microbial horizontal gene transfer and the DNA release from transgenic crop plants. Plant and Soil 266: 91-104

- 16. Dong, H., C. Zhu, J. Chen, X. Ye and Y.-P. Huang. 2015. Antibacterial activity of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia endolysin P28 against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. Frontiers in Microbiology 6:1299.
- 17. Duke O. Stephen, 2018. Taking stock of herbicide-resistant crops ten years after introduction. Pest Management Science 6:211-218
- Echemendia, Y. 2010. Microorganism of the month: Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Environmental Microbiology Laboratory, Inc., Cherry Hill, New Jersey. http://www.emlab.com/s/sampling/env-report-07-2007.html [Accessed August 10, 2010].
- 19. Edgerton, M.D. 2009. Increasing crop productivity to meet global needs for feed, food, and fuel. Plant Physiology 149:7-13.
- Enya, J., H. Shinohara, S. Yoshida, T. Tsukiboshi, H. Negishi, K. Suyama and S. Tsushima.
 2007. Culturable leaf-associated bacteria on tomato plants and their potential as biological control agents. Microbial Ecology 53:524-536.
- 21. FAO-WHO. 1991. Strategies for assessing the safety of foods produced by biotechnology. Report of joint FAO/WHO consultation. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 22. FAO-WHO. 2001. Evaluation of allergenicity of genetically modified foods. Report of a joint FAO/WHO expert consultation on allergenicity of foods derived from biotechnology. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- Fialho, A.M., L.M. Moreira, A.T. Granja, A.O. Popescu, K. Hoffmann and I. Sá-Correia. 2008. Occurrence, production, and applications of gellan: Current state and perspectives. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 79:889-900.
- FSANZ. Safety Assessment Report Application A1118 Food derived from Herbicidetolerant Corn Line MON87419. Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation on Foods Derived from Biotechnology (2000: Geneva, Switzerland),
- 25. Good, N.A. 2018. An Acute Oral Gavage Toxicity Study with MON 87429 FT_T Protein in CD-1 Mice. Monsanto Technical Report MSL0029801. St. Louis, Missouri.
- 26. Goodfellow, M. and S.T. Williams. 1983. Ecology of actinomycetes. Annual Review of Microbiology 37:189-216.
- Gu, X. 2018. Assessment of the in vitro Digestibility of Escherichia coli-produced Dicamba Mono-oxygenase Protein by Pepsin and Pancreatin. Monsanto Technical Report MSL0029822. St. Louis, Missouri.
- 28. Gu, X., R.E. Hileman, and A. Silvanovich. 2018. Bioinformatics Evaluation of the DMO and FT_T Proteins in MON 87429 Utilizing the AD_2018, TOX_2018, and PRT_2018 Databases. Monsanto Technical Report MSL0029452. St. Louis, Missouri.
- Harrison et al., 2011. The Expressed Protein in Glyphosate-Tolerant Soybean, 5-Enolpyruvylshikimate-3-Phosphate Synthase from Agrobacterium sp. Strain CP4 is Rapidly Digested In Vitro and Is Not Toxic to Acutely Gavaged Mice. The Journal of Nutrition 126: 728-740
- 30. Hausinger, R.P.2004 .Fe(II)/α -Ketoglutarate-dependant hydoxylases and related enzymes. Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 39:21-68.
- Heller, D., E.J. Helmerhorst, A.C. Gower, W.L. Siqueira, B.J. Paster and F.G. Oppenheim. 2016. Microbial diversity in the early in vivo-formed dental biofilm. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 82:1881-1888.

- 32. Hérouet, C., D.J. Esdaile, B.A. Mallyon, E. Debruyne, A. Schulz, T. Currier, K. Hendrickx, R.-J. van der Klis and D. Rouan. 2005. Safety evaluation of the phosphinothricin acetyltransferase proteins encoded by the pat and bar sequences that confer tolerance to glufosinate-ammonium herbicide in transgenic plants. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 41:134-149.
- 33. ILSI-CERA. 2010. A review of the environmental safety of the CP4 EPSPS protein. International Life Sciences Institute, Center for Environmental Risk Assessment, Washington, D.C.
- 34. Jin, D., X. Kong, B. Cui, Z. Bai and H. Zhang. 2013. Biodegradation of di-n-butyl phthalate by a newly isolated Halotolerant Sphingobium sp. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 14:24046-24054.
- 35. Kämpfer, P. 2006. The family Streptomycetaceae, Part I: Taxonomy. Pages 538-604 in The Prokaryotes. A Handbook on the Biology of Bacteria: Archaea. Bacteria: Firmicutes, Actinomycetes. Volume 3. M.Dworkin, S. Falkow, E. Rosenberg, K.-H. Schleifer, and E. Stackebrandt (eds.). Springer+ Business Media, LLC., New York, New York.
- 36. Keese P. Risks from GMOs due to horizontal gene transfer. Environ Biosafety Res. 2008 Jul-Sep;7(3):123-49. doi: 10.1051/ebr:2008014.
- 37. Klusmeyer, T.H., Helm, J.M., Riordan. S.G. 2018. Compositional Analyses of Maize Grain and Forage Harvested from MON 87429 Grown in the United States During the 2017 Season. Monsanto Technical Report MSL0029410. St. Louis, Missouri.
- 38. Komorek, J. 2019. Amended Report for MSL0029693: Assessment of DMO, PAT (pat), FT_T and CP4 EPSPS Protein Levels in Maize Tissues Collected from MON 87429 Produced in United States Field Trials During 2017. Monsanto Technical Report MSL0030257. St. Louis, Missouri.
- 39. Kundu, S. 2012. Distribution and prediction of catalytic domains in 2-oxoglutarate dependent dioxygenases (2012) BMC Research Notes 5:14
- 40. Kutzner, H.J. 1981. The family streptomycetaceae. Pages 2028-2090 in The Prokaryotes: A Handbook on Habitats, Isolation, and Identification of Bacteria. Volume 2. M.P. Starr, H. Stolp, H.G. Trüper, A. Balows, and H.G. Schlegel (eds.). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- Leach, J.N., R. E. Hileman, J. J. Throp, C. George and J. D. Astwood, 2002. Assessment of the in vitro Digestibility of Purified E. coli-produced CP4 EPSPS Protein in Simulated Gastric Fluid. Monsanto Technical Report MSL 17566. St. Louis, Missouri.
- 42. Lira, F., G. Berg and J.L. Martínez. 2017. Double-face meets the bacterial world: The opportunistic pathogen Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Frontiers in Microbiology 8:2190.
- Locci, R. 1989. Streptomycetes and related genera. Pages 2451-2508 in Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. Volume 4. S.T. Williams and M.E. Sharpe (eds.). Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, Maryland.
- 44. Loy, D.D. and E.L. Lundy. 2019. Nutritional properties and feeding value of corn and its coproducts. Pages 633-659 in Corn: Chemistry and Technology. Third Edition. S.O. Serna-Saldivar (ed.). Woodhead Publishing and AACC International Press.
- 45. Mehrotra, S. and V. Goyal. 2012. Agrobacterium-mediated gene transfer in plants and biosafety considerations. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology 168: 1953-1975.

- 46. Mukherjee, P. and P. Roy. 2016. Genomic potential of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia in bioremediation with an assessment of its multifaceted role in our environment. Frontiers in Microbiology 7:967.
- 47. Naylor, M.W. 1993. Acute Oral Toxicity Study of CP4 EPSPS Protein in Albino Mice. Monsanto Technical Report MSL13077. St. Louis, Missouri.
- 48. Nester, E.W. 2015. Agrobacterium: Nature's genetic engineer. Frontiers in Plant Science 5: 730.
- 49. Nielsen KM (1998) Barriers to horizontal gene transfer by natural transformation in soil bacteria. APMIS 106: 77-84
- 50. Nielsen KM, Bones AM, Smalla K, van Elsas JD (1998) Horizontal gene transfer from transgenic plants to terrestrial bacteria a rare event? FEMS Microbiology Reviews 22: 79-103.
- 51. Nielsen KM, Van Elsas JD, Smalla K (2000) Transformation of Acinetobacter sp. Strain BD413 (pFG4ΔnptII) with Transgenic Plant DNA in Soil Microcosms and Effects of Kanamycin on Selection of Transformants. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 66: 1237-1242
- 52. OECD. 2000. Report of the task force for the safety of novel foods and feeds. C(2000)86/ADD1. Organisation of Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France.
- 53. OECD. 2002. Consensus document on compositional considerations for new varieties of maize (Zea mays): Key food and feed nutrients, anti-nutrients and secondary plant metabolites. ENV/JM/MONO(2002)25. Series on the Safety of Novel Foods and Feeds, No.
 6. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France.
- 54. Okuno, N.T., I.R. Freire, R.T.R.S. Segundo, C.R. Silva and V.A. Marin. 2018. Polymerase chain reaction assay for detection of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia in cheese samples based on the smeT gene. Current Microbiology 75:1555-1559.
- 55. Paul JH. Microbial gene transfer: an ecological perspective. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol. 1999 Aug;1(1):45-50. PMID: 10941783.
- 56. Pozo, C., B. Rodelas, M.V. Martinez-Toledo, V. Ramiro and J.Gonzalez-Lopez, 2007. Removal of Organic Load from Olive Washing Water by an Aerated Submerged Biofilter and Profiling of the Bacterial Community Involved in the Process. Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnolog 17(5), 784–791
- 57. Qureshi, A., L. Mooney, M. Denton and K.G. Kerr. 2005. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia in salad. Emerging Infectious Diseases 11:1157-1158.
- 58. Raybould, A., G. Graser, K. Hill and K. Ward. 2012. Ecological risk assessments for transgenic crops with combined insect-resistance traits: The example of Bt11 x MIR604 maize. Journal of Applied Entomology 136:27-37.
- 59. Rijavec, T., A. Lapanje, M. Dermastia and M. Rupnik. 2007. Isolation of bacterial endophytes from germinated maize kernels. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 53:802-808.
- 60. Robert P. Hausinger (2004) Fe(II)/α-Ketoglutarate-Dependent Hydroxylases and Related Enzymes, Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 39:1, 21-68, DOI: 10.1080/10409230490440541
- 61. Roberts, A., Y. Devos, A. Raybould, P. Bigelow and A. Gray. 2014. Environmental risk assessment of GE plants under low-exposure conditions. Transgenic Research 23:971-983.

- 62. Ryan, R.P., S. Monchy, M. Cardinale, S. Taghavi, L. Crossman, M.B. Avison, G. Berg, D. van der Lelie and J.M. Dow. 2009. The versatility and adaptation of bacteria from the genus Stenotrophomonas. Nature Reviews Microbiology 7:514-525.
- 63. Schmidt C., L. and Shaw, L. A comprehensive phylogenetic analysis of Rieske and Riesketype Iron- Sulfur ProteinsJournal of Bioenergetics and Biomembranes. Vol 33. No. 1: 9-26
- 64. Skottke, K. and A. Silvanovich. 2018. Updated Bioinformatics Evaluation of the CP4 EPSPS Protein Utilizing the AD_2018, TOX_2018, and PRT_2018 Databases. Monsanto Technical Report RAR-2018-0126. St. Louis, Missouri.
- 65. Smedley, J.W. 2012a. An Acute Toxicity Study of E. coli-produced MON 88701 DMO Administered by the Oral Gavage Route to Mice. Monsanto Technical Report CRO-2011-035. St. Louis, Missouri.
- 66. Smedley, J.W. 2012b. An Acute Toxicity Study of E. coli-produced Phosphinothricin Nacetyltransferase (PAT [bar]) Protein Administered by the Oral Gavage Route to Mice. Monsanto Technical Report CRO-2011-007. St. Louis, Missouri.
- 67. Takeuchi, M., K. Hamana and A. Hiraishi. 2001. Proposal of the genus Sphingomonas sensu stricto and three new genera, Sphingobium, Novosphingobium and Sphingopyxis, on the basis of phylogenetic and chemotaxonomic analyses. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 51:1405-1417
- 68. Thomas, P., S. Kumari, G.K. Swarna and T.K.S. Gowda. 2007. Papaya shoot tip associated endophytic bacteria isolated from in vitro cultures and host-endophyte interaction in vitro and in vivo. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 53:380-390.
- 69. Varman, A.M., L. He, R. Follenfant, W. Wu, S. Wemmer, S.A. Wrobel, Y.J. Tang and S. Singh. 2016. Decoding how a soil bacterium extracts building blocks and metabolic energy from ligninolysis provides road map for lignin valorization. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 113:E5802-E5811.
- Vest, J. and A. Silvanovich. 2018. Bioinformatics Evaluation of the PAT Protein Utilizing the AD_2018, TOX_2018, and PRT_2018 Databases. Monsanto Technical Report RAR-2018-0231. St. Louis, Missouri.
- 71. WHO Food Safety Programme & Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2000). Safety aspects of genetically modified foods of plant origin : report of a Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation on Foods Derived from Biotechnology, WHO headquarters, Geneva, Switzerland, 29 May to 2 June 2000. World Health Organization.
- 72. Zipper, C., K. Nickel, W. Angst and H.-P.E. Kohler. 1996. Complete microbial degradation of both enantiomers of the chiral herbicide mecoprop [(RS)-2-(4-chloro-2methylphenoxy)propionic acid] in an enantioselective manner by Sphingomonas herbicidovorans sp. nov. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 62:4318-4322.

GENETIC MODIFICATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC) MEMBERS INVOLVED IN SPECIFIC RISK ASSESSMENT AREAS FOR THE APPROVAL FOR RELEASE OF PRODUCTS OF MON87429 MAIZE FOR SUPPLY OR OFFER TO SUPPLY

Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC) members divided the task of looking up more information for the Risk Assessment matrix based on three broad categories which were environment, human health and animal health. Each sub-committee had a nominated leader to coordinate the work and report back to the main GMAC. The GMAC members involved in the risk assessment are as below:

- 1. Prof. Dr. Mohd. Faiz Foong bin Abdullah (Universiti Teknologi MARA) (GMAC Chairman)
- 2. Dr. Kodi Isparan Kandasamy (Industry Representative) (Environment subcommittee Leader)
- 3. Madam T.S. Saraswathy (Institute of Medical Research retired) (Human Health sub-committee Leader)
- 4. Prof. Dr Jothi Malar Panandam (Universiti Putra Malaysia retired) (Animal Health sub-committee Leader)
- 5. Dr. Rahizan Issa (Institute of Medical Research retired) (Notification Assessment sub-committee Leader)
- 6. Dato' Dr. Sim Soon Liang (Academy of Sciences Malaysia)
- 7. Prof. Dr. Chan Kok Gan (Universiti Malaya)
- 8. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Choong Chee Yen (Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia)
- 9. Dr. Adiratna Mat Ripen (Institute of Medical Research)
- 10. Dr. Norliza Tendot Abu Bakar (Malaysian Agricultural Research & Development Institute)
- 11. Dr. Norwati Muhammad (Forest Research Institute of Malaysia)
- 12. Dr. Saifullizam bin Abdul Kadir (Department of Veterinary Services)
- 13. Dr. Teo Tze Min (Entomological Society of Malaysia)
- 14. Dr. Mohd Hefni Rusli (Malaysian Palm Oil Board)
- 15. Madam Shafini Abu Bakar (Ministry of Health)
- 16. Madam Sabariah Kamis (Department of Agriculture)
- 17. Mr. Harun bin Ahmad (Department of Chemistry Malaysia)
- 18. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Sharifah binti Syed Hassan (Monash University Malaysia)
- 19. Dr. Kumitaa Theva Das (Universiti Sains Malaysia)