

**RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT
OF THE GENETIC MODIFICATION
ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC)
FOR
AN APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL FOR
RELEASE OF PRODUCTS OF
DAS1131 MAIZE FOR SUPPLY OR OFFER
TO SUPPLY**

**NBB REF NO: JBK(S) 600-2/1/29
APPLICANT: CORTEVA AGRISCIENCE
(MALAYSIA) SDN. BHD.
DATE: 20 FEBRUARY 2025**

I - Summary of Assessment Process

On 23 May 2023, the Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC), received from the Department of Biosafety an application for the approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism insect resistant and herbicide tolerant DAS1131 maize. The application was filed by Corteva Agriscience (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd. (hereafter referred to as “the applicant”). After an initial review, GMAC requested for additional information from the applicant. Please refer to **Lampiran IB** for details of the additional information provided by the applicant.

A public consultation for this application was conducted from 28 December 2022 to 26 January 2023 via advertisements in the local newspapers, e-mail announcements and social media. Comments were received from Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB), Consumers Association Penang and several individuals. GMAC took into consideration comments that were relevant to the risk assessment including the safety of the genes involved and proteins produced, herbicide residue, unintentional release and the lack of safety information about this event, as it is relatively “new”. Please refer to **Lampiran IVD** for comments received from public consultation.

GMAC had five (5) meetings pertaining to this application and prepared the Risk Assessment Report and Risk Assessment Matrix along with its recommended decision, for consideration by the National Biosafety Board.

II - Background of Application

This application is for approval to import and release products of a Living Modified Organism Lepidopteran resistant and herbicide tolerant DAS1131 maize. The aim of the import and release is to supply or offer to supply for sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP). DAS1131 maize has been approved for use in food and animal feed in the Brazil, Australia, Canada, Japan, Republic of Korea and South Africa. At this point of time DAS1131 maize has not yet been commercialized. (Biosafety Clearing House and FAO GM Foods Platform). To date, DP910521 maize has not yet been commercialized. The type of expected use of the products derived from DAS1131 maize in Malaysia will be the same as the expected usage for products derived from conventional maize. This application does not cover environmental release and DAS1131 maize may be imported to Malaysia as food or feed products or for further processing.

Information about DAS1131 maize

DAS1131 maize was genetically modified to express the Cry1Da2 protein for protection against certain susceptible lepidopteran pests and the DGT-28 EPSP5 protein for tolerance to glyphosate herbicide.

Lepidopteran resistant and herbicide tolerant DAS1131 maize was developed by inoculating the B104 maize with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain DAt13192 with plasmid PHP88492. The T-DNA

region from plasmid PHP88492 contains two gene cassettes: 1) the cry1Da2 gene cassette containing a chimeric gene comprised of sequences from the cry1Da2 gene and a derivative of the cry1Ab gene, both derived from *Bacillus thuringiensis*; 2) the dgt-28 epsps gene cassette containing a epsps gene derived from *Streptomyces svaceus*, fused to a chimeric chloroplast transit peptide, TraP8, from *Brassica napus* and *Brassica rapa*.

III - Risk Assessment and Risk Management Plan

GMAC evaluated the application with reference to the following documents:

- (i) CODEX Guideline for the Conduct of Food Safety Assessment of Foods Derived from Recombinant-DNA Plants.
- (ii) Roadmap for Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms, (according to Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety produced by the *Ad Hoc* Technical Expert Group (AHTEG) on Risk Assessment and Risk Management of the Convention on Biological Diversity).
- (iii) The risk assessment and risk management plan submitted by the applicant.

GMAC also referred to the following recommendations within the AHTEG guidelines:

- (i) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the details of this particular application
- (ii) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the receiving environment in question, and
- (iii) That any risk identified be compared against that posed by the unmodified organism.

In conducting the risk assessment, GMAC identified potential hazards, and then added a value/rank for the likelihood of each hazard as well as its consequences. The likelihood of each hazard occurring was evaluated qualitatively on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'highly unlikely', and 4 for 'highly likely'. The consequences of each hazard, if it were to occur, were then evaluated on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'marginal' and 4 to denote a 'major consequence'. A value was finally assigned for the overall risk from the identified potential hazard. The general formula: Overall Risk = Likelihood x Consequence was employed. GMAC also proposed risk management strategies for potential hazards, where appropriate. This methodology of assessment follows the procedure of Risk Assessment in Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.

The potential hazards were identified in three main areas:

- (i) **Effects on human health**

Relevant scientific publications on the genetic modifications were reviewed for potential human health risks and issues pertaining to acute toxicity of novel protein / altering / interference of metabolic pathways, potential allergenicity of the novel protein,

pathogenic potential of donor microorganisms, nutritional equivalence and anti-nutritional properties.

(ii) **Effects on animal health**

Relevant scientific publications on the genetic modifications were reviewed for potential animal health risks and issues pertaining to allergenicity, toxicity, anti-nutritional properties, survivability, and animal product contamination.

(iii) **Effects on the environment**

Relevant scientific publications on the genetic modifications were reviewed for potential environmental risks and issues pertaining to accidental release of seeds, unintentional release and planting, potential of transgenes being transferred to bacteria (soil bacteria, bacterial flora of animal gut), increased fitness, weediness and invasiveness, accumulation of the protein in the environment via feces from animals fed with the GM plant/grain and cross pollination leading to transfer of transgenes.

Based on the above, a final list of 17 potential hazards were identified. Most of these hazards were rated as having an Overall Risk of 1 or “negligible” (please refer to the **Lampiran IIB/Risk Matrix** for details).

GMAC also took caution and discussed a few of the hazards that required further evaluation and data acquisition. Some of these risks are expected to be managed effectively with the risk management strategies proposed (please refer to section IV of this document).

Some of the potential hazards are highlighted below along with the appropriate management strategies:

a) Accidental release of viable seeds

Seeds may be accidentally released during transportation. These seeds can germinate and grow along transportation routes and in areas surrounding storage and processing facilities (JBK Report Number No. 04, 2015). In the conducive warm and humid climate of Malaysia, there is a high likelihood of these volunteers maturing to the flowering and seed-setting stages. Although maize is not grown as an economic crop in Malaysia and there are no wild relatives, some varieties of baby corn and sweet corn are cultivated in small scales. Thus, there is a likelihood of outcrossing of the GM maize with these cultivated maize. Repeated cycles of spill-and-growth also increase the likelihood for the development of feral GM populations.

Any spillage (during loading/unloading) shall be collected and cleaned up immediately. Transportation of the consignment from the port of entry to any destination within the country must be in secured and closed conditions.

b) Planting of seeds

Plants may be grown by uninformed farmers and perpetuated through small scale cultivations. These GM maize may pollinate the non-GM baby corn and/or sweetcorn.

There should also be clear labeling of the product to state that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing, and is not to be used as planting material.

c) Weediness

The possibility of plants grown unintentionally may develop characteristic of weediness and become invasive was considered.

Maize is highly domesticated and its weediness/invasiveness is effectively limited by multiple characteristics, including poor seed dispersal mechanisms and poor competitive ability. Fundamental changes to such limiting characteristics, including competitive ability, would be required for it to become invasive or weedy. DAS1131 maize lacks plausible hypotheses under which they would cause such fundamental changes. DAS1131 maize does not exhibit characteristics that increase its fitness compared to conventional maize, and is highly unlikely to become weedy or invasive.

Genetic modification of DAS1131 maize is unlikely to cause weediness or invasiveness. For both conventional and DAS1131 maize, gene flow to native species is unlikely because there are no native Malaysian species sexually compatible to maize. Lepidopteran-protected traits such as DAS1131 maize would have little or no impact on non-agricultural habitats that generally do not receive pesticide applications. Any DAS1131 maize that invades agricultural habitats could be easily controlled with mechanical cultivation and herbicides.

Any spillage (during loading/unloading) shall be collected and cleaned up immediately. Transportation of the consignment from the port of entry to any destination within the country must be in secured and closed conditions.

IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval

Based on the 17 potential hazards identified and assessed, GMAC has drawn up the following terms and conditions to be included in the certificate of approval for the release of this product:

- a) There shall be clear documentation by the exporter describing the product which shall be declared to the Royal Malaysian Customs.

- b) There shall be clear labeling of the product from importation to all levels of marketing stating that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing, and is not to be used as planting material.
- c) Should the approved person receive any credible and/or scientifically proven information that indicates any adverse effect of DAS1131 maize, the National Biosafety Board shall be informed immediately.
- d) Any spillage (during loading/unloading/transportation) shall be collected and cleaned up immediately.
- e) Transportation of the consignment from the port of entry to any destination within the country shall be in secured and closed condition.
- f) Any import or release of products derived from any new genetically modified lines bred using DAS1131 maize will require a separate approval from the National Biosafety Board.

V - Other Regulatory Considerations

- a) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety, Royal Malaysian Customs Department and relevant agencies to ensure accurate declaration of product information and clear labeling of the product is implemented.
- b) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) to impose post entry requirements for accidental spillage involving the GM product.
- c) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) and other competent agencies to impose post entry requirements for food safety compliance.
- d) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out between the Department of Biosafety and the Department of Veterinary Services (DVS) so that any unanticipated adverse effects in animals caused by any consumption of the GM products shall be reported immediately.
- e) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out by Food Safety and Quality of Ministry of Health to monitor compliance to the Food Act 1983 and Food Regulations 1985.
- f) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between Department of Biosafety and Ministry of Health to ensure that herbicide residues in maize consignments are below the maximum residual level established.

VI - Identification of issues to be addressed for long term use release of this product

- a) Continuous monitoring is required from the approved person and any unanticipated adverse effect caused by the DAS1131 maize shall be reported to the National Biosafety Board.

VII –Conclusion and Recommendation

GMAC has conducted a thorough evaluation of the application for approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism insect resistant and herbicide tolerant DAS1131 maize and has determined that the release of this product does not endanger biological diversity or human, animal and plant health. GMAC recommends that the proposed application for release be **APPROVED WITH TERMS AND CONDITIONS** as listed in section IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval.

VIII - Bibliography

1. Andersson MS, de Vicente MC (2010) Maize, Corn (*Zea mays* L.). In *Gene Flow between Crops and Their Wild Relatives*. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, pp 255-291
2. Ballou, S.,Challender, M. 2021a. Characterization of the In Vitro Pancreatin Resistance of Cry1Da2 Using SDS-PAGE and Western Blot Analysis . Study No. PHI-2021-101. Pioneer Hi-Bred International Inc., 8325 NW 62nd, Johnston, IA 50141, USA. Report Completed on December 2, 2021
3. Ballou, S.,Challender, M. 2021a. Characterization of the In Vitro Pancreatin Resistance of Cry1Da2 Using SDS-PAGE and Western Blot Analysis . Study No. PHI-2021-103. Pioneer Hi-Bred International Inc., 8325 NW 62nd, Johnston, IA 50141, USA. Report Completed on September 28, 2021
4. Bignell DRD, Huguet-Tapia JC, Joshi MV, Pettis GS, Loria R (2010) What does it take to be a plant pathogen: genomic insights from *Streptomyces* species. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 98: 179-194
5. CFIA (1994) *The Biology of Zea mays* (L.) (Maize). Canadian Food Inspection Agency, BIO1994-11
6. Conner AJ, Glare TR, Nap J-P (2003) The release of genetically modified crops into the environment. Part II. Overview of ecological risk assessment. *The Plant Journal* 33: 19-46
7. de Vries J, Wackernagel W (2004) Microbial horizontal gene transfer and the DNA release from transgenic crop plants. *Plant and Soil* 266: 91-104
8. Fallers, M. (2021).Cry1Da2 Protein: Acute Oral Toxicity Study in Mice. Study Number PHI-2020-187. Pioneer Hi-Bred International Inc., 8325 NW 62nd, Johnston, IA 50141, USA. Report Completed on February 24, 2021
9. Fallers, M. (2021). DGT-28 EPSPS Protein: Acute Oral Toxicity Study in Crl:CD1(ICR) Mice. Study number PHI-2021-170 . Pioneer Hi-Bred International Inc., 8325 NW 62nd, Johnston, IA 50141, USA. Report Completed on November 15, 2021
10. ISAAA (2019) GM Approval Database. International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-Biotech Applications, <https://www.isaaa.org/gmapprovaldatabase/default.asp>
11. Kämpfer P (2006) The Family Streptomycetaceae, Part I: Taxonomy. In M Dworkin, S Falkow, E Rosenberg, K-H Schleifer, E Stackebrandt, eds, *The Prokaryotes: Volume 3: Archaea. Bacteria: Firmicutes, Actinomycetes*, Ed 3. Springer-Verlag New York, pp 538-604

12. Keese, Paul. (2008). Risks from GMOs due to Horizontal Gene Transfer. Environmental biosafety research. 7. 123-49. 10.1051/eb:2008014.
13. Kim, J., Seo, Y., Kim, J., Han, Y., Lee, K., Kim, S., Kim, H., Ahn, K., Lee, S., & Kim, H. (2009). Allergenicity Assessment of CRY proteins in insect-resistant genetically modified maize BT11, MON810, and MON863. Food Science and Biotechnology, 18(5), 1273–1278
14. Luna VS, Figueroa MJ, Baltazar MB, Gomez LR, Townsend R, Schoper JB (2001) Maize Pollen Longevity and Distance Isolation Requirements for Effective Pollen Control. Crop Science 41: 1551-1557
15. Mendelsohn M, Kough J, Vaituzis Z, Matthews K (2003) Are Bt crops safe? Nature Biotechnology 21: 1003-1009
16. Mathur, C., Kathuria, P. C., Dahiya, P., & Singh, A. B. (2015). Lack of detectable allergenicity in genetically modified maize containing "Cry" proteins as compared to native maize based on in silico & in vitro analysis. PloS one, 10(2), e0117340.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117340>
17. Messeguer J, Peñas G, Ballester J, Bas M, Serra J, Salvia J, Palaudelmàs M, Melé E (2006) Pollen-mediated gene flow in maize in real situations of coexistence. Plant Biotechnology Journal 4: 633-645
18. Nakajima, O., Teshima, R., Takagi, K., Okunuki, H., & Sawada, J. (2007). ELISA method for monitoring human serum IgE specific for Cry1Ab introduced into genetically modified corn. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 47(1), 90–95
19. Nielsen KM (1998) Barriers to horizontal gene transfer by natural transformation in soil bacteria. APMIS 106: 77-84
20. Nielsen KM, Bones AM, Smalla K, van Elsas JD (1998) Horizontal gene transfer from transgenic plants to terrestrial bacteria – a rare event? FEMS Microbiology Reviews 22: 79-103
21. Nielsen KM, Van Elsas JD, Smalla K (2000) Transformation of Acinetobacter sp. Strain BD413 (pFG4ΔnptII) with Transgenic Plant DNA in Soil Microcosms and Effects of Kanamycin on Selection of Transformants. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 66: 1237-1242
22. OECD. 2000. Report of the task force for the safety of novel foods and feeds. C(2000)86/ADD1. Organisation of Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France.
23. OECD (2003) Consensus Document on the Biology of Zea mays subsp. mays (Maize). Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, ENV/JM/MONO(2003)11
24. Rathinam, M., Singh, S., Pattanayak, D. et al. Comprehensive in silico allergenicity assessment of novel protein engineered chimeric Cry proteins for safe deployment in crops. BMC Biotechnol 17, 64 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12896-017-0384-z>
25. Raybould A, Higgins LS, Horak MJ, Layton RJ, Storer NP, De La Fuente JM, Herman RA (2012) Assessing the ecological risks from the persistence and spread of feral populations of insect-resistant transgenic maize. Transgenic Research 21: 655-664
26. Raybould A, Wilkinson MJ (2005) Assessing the environmental risks of gene flow from GM crops to wild relatives. In GM Poppy, MJ Wilkinson, eds, Gene flow from GM plants. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, pp 169-185
27. Schnepf E, Crickmore N, Van Rie J, Lereclus D, Baum J, Feitelson J, Zeigler DR, Dean DH (1998) Bacillus thuringiensis and Its Pesticidal Crystal Proteins. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 62: 775-806
28. Shaw RH (1988) Climate Requirement. In GF Sprague, ed, Corn and Corn Improvement, Ed

3. ASA-CSSA-SSSA, Madison, pp 609-638
29. Shelton A (2012) Bacteria. Biological Control: A Guide to Natural Enemies in North America, <http://www.biocontrol.entomology.cornell.edu/pathogens/bacteria.html>
30. US-EPA (1998) Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED): *Bacillus thuringiensis*. United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA738-R-98-004, <http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/REDs/0247.pdf>
31. US-EPA (2001) Biopesticides registration action document: *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) plant-incorporated protectants (October 15, 2001). United States Environmental Protection Agency, http://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/reg_actions/pip/bt_brad.htm
32. Utley, P. (2021). Characterization of DGT-28 EPSPS Protein Derived from DAS-Ø1131-3 Maize. Corteva Agriscience study ID: PHI-2021-151
33. Van Eenennaam AL, Young AE (2014) Prevalence and impacts of genetically engineered feedstuffs on livestock populations. *Journal of Animal Science* 92: 4255-4278
34. Wu M, Wang Q, McKinstry WJ, Ren B (2015) Characterization of a tannin acyl hydrolase from *Streptomyces svaceus* with substrate preference for digalloyl ester bonds. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 99: 2663-2672
35. Xu W, Cao S, He X, Luo Y, Guo X, Yuan Y, Huang K. (2009). Safety assessment of Cry1Ab/Ac fusion protein. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 47: 1459–146

**GENETIC MODIFICATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC) MEMBERS INVOLVED IN
SPECIFIC RISK ASSESSMENT AREAS FOR THE APPROVAL FOR RELEASE OF
PRODUCTS OF DAS1131 MAIZE FOR SUPPLY OR OFFER TO SUPPLY**

Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC) members divided the task of looking up more information for the Risk Assessment matrix based on three broad categories which were environment, human health and animal health. Each sub-committee had a nominated leader to coordinate the work and report back to the main GMAC. The GMAC members involved in the risk assessment are as below:

1. **Dr. Mohd Hefni Rusli (Malaysian Palm Oil Board) (GMAC Chairman)**
2. **Dr. Kumitaa Theva Das (Universiti Sains Malaysia) (Environment sub-committee Leader)**
3. **Dr. Choong Chee Yen (Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia - retired) (Human Health sub-committee Leader)**
4. **Dr. Teo Tze Min (Entomological Society of Malaysia) (Animal Health sub-committee Leader)**
5. **Dr. Adiratna Mat Ripen (Institute of Medical Research) (Notification Assessment sub-committee Leader)**
6. Prof. Dr. Mohd. Faiz Foong bin Abdullah (Universiti Teknologi MARA)
7. Dr. Kodi Isparan Kandasamy (Industry Representative)
8. Madam T.S. Saraswathy (Institute of Medical Research - retired)
9. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Sharifah binti Syed Hassan (Monash University Malaysia)
10. Dr Jothi Malar Panandam (Universiti Putra Malaysia - retired)
11. Dr. Rahizan Issa (Institute of Medical Research - retired)
12. Dr. Norliza Tendot Abu Bakar (Malaysian Agricultural Research & Development Institute)
13. Madam Shafini Abu Bakar (Ministry of Health)
14. Madam Sabariah Kamis (Department of Agriculture)
15. Mr. Harun bin Ahmad (Department of Chemistry Sabah)
16. Dr. Sara Jane Chiu (Industry Representative)
17. Dr. Yuwana Podin (Universiti Malaysia Sarawak)
18. Prof. Dr. Chan Kok Gan (Universiti Malaya)

