

**RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT  
OF THE GENETIC MODIFICATION  
ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC)**

***FOR***

**AN APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL FOR RELEASE  
OF PRODUCTS OF MZHGOJG CORN FOR  
SUPPLY OR OFFER TO SUPPLY**

**NBB REF NO: JBK(S) 602-1/1/35**

**APPLICANT: SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION  
SDN. BHD.**

**DATE: 25 MAY 2017**

## ***I - Summary of Assessment Process***

On 4 April 2017 the Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC, please refer to Appendix 1 for details of GMAC), received from the Department of Biosafety an application for the approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism glyphosate and glufosinate-ammonium tolerant MZHG0JG corn. The application was filed by Syngenta Crop Protection Sdn. Bhd. (hereafter referred to as “the applicant”). After an initial review, GMAC requested for additional information from the applicant.

A public consultation for this application was conducted from 14 December 2016 to 12 January 2017 via advertisements in the local newspapers. Comments were received from Third World Network (TWN). GMAC took into considerations comments regarding the latest publication that raised concern about glyphosate herbicide, co-formulants in glyphosate-based herbicide and finally glyphosate-tolerant corn not being substantially equivalent to its conventional counterpart.

GMAC had four (4) meetings pertaining to this application and prepared the Risk Assessment Report and Risk Assessment Matrix along with its recommended decision, for consideration by the National Biosafety Board.

## ***II - Background of Application***

This application is for approval to import and release products of a Living Modified Organism herbicide-tolerant MZHG0JG corn. The aim of the import and release is to supply or offer to supply for sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP). According to the applicant, MZHG0JG corn has been registered in a number of countries for cultivation as well as for food, feed and for processing. MZHG0JG corn is approved in Australia, Canada, New Zealand, South Africa, and United States of America and may be imported, stored and processed for use in food, animal feed and industrial products in the same way as other conventional, non-transgenic corn. The type of expected use of the products derived from MZHG0JG corn in Malaysia will be the same as the expected usage for products

derived from conventional corn. Potential users of products derived from MZHG0JG corn such as grains are feed millers, food processors and other industrial use.

### **Information about MZHG0JG Corn**

The recipient or parental plant is *Zea mays* L.spp *mays* (field or sweet corn). Corn is extensively cultivated and has a long history of safe use as a food or feed. It is one of the largest cultivated crop in the world followed by wheat (*Triticum* sp.) and rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in total global metric ton production (FAOSTAT, 2016).

MZHG0JG produces a modified 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (mEPSPS) protein derived from the *mepsps-02* gene which is a variant of the native *epsps* gene from corn that provides tolerance to glyphosate. In addition, MZHG0JG also produces phosphinothricin acetyltransferase (PAT) protein derived from *Streptomyces viridochromogenes* that confers tolerance to glufosinate-ammonium.

### **III - Risk Assessment and Risk Management Plan**

GMAC evaluated the application with reference to the following documents:

- (i) CODEX Guideline for the Conduct of Food Safety Assessment of Foods Derived from Recombinant-DNA Plants.
- (ii) Roadmap for Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms, (according to Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety produced by the *Ad Hoc* Technical Expert Group (AHTEG) on Risk Assessment and Risk Management of the Convention on Biological Diversity).
- (iii) The risk assessment and risk management plan submitted by the applicant.

GMAC also referred to the following recommendations within the AHTEG guidelines:

- (i) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the details of this particular application
- (ii) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the receiving environment in question, and
- (iii) That any risk identified be compared against that posed by the unmodified organism.

In conducting the risk assessment, GMAC identified potential hazards, and then added a value/rank for the likelihood of each hazard as well as its consequences. The likelihood of each hazard occurring was evaluated qualitatively on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'highly unlikely', and 4 for 'highly likely'. The consequences of each hazard, if it were to occur, were then evaluated on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'marginal' and 4 to denote a 'major consequence'. A value was finally assigned for the overall risk from the identified potential hazard. The general formula: Overall Risk = Likelihood x Consequence was employed. GMAC also proposed risk management strategies for potential hazards, where appropriate. This methodology of assessment follows the procedure of Risk Assessment in Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.

The potential hazards were identified in three main areas:

(i) **Effects on human health**

Relevant scientific publications on the genetic modifications were reviewed for potential human health risks and issues pertaining to acute toxicity of novel protein / altering / interference of metabolic pathways, potential allergenicity of the novel protein, production of proteins or metabolites with mutagenic / teratogenic / carcinogenic effects, reproductive toxicity, potential transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in digestive tract, pathogenic potential of donor microorganisms and nutritional equivalence.

(ii) **Effects on animal health**

Issues pertaining to allergenicity, toxicity, anti-nutritional content, survivability and animal product contamination.

(iii) **Effects on the environment**

Issues pertaining to accidental release of seeds, unintentional release and planting, potential of transgenes being transferred to bacteria (soil bacteria, bacterial flora of animal gut), increased fitness, weediness and invasiveness, accumulation of the protein in the environment via feces from animals fed with the GM plant/grain, cross pollination leading to transfer of transgenes and toxic effect on non-target organisms were examined.

Based on the above, a final list of 21 potential hazards was identified. Most of these hazards were rated as having an Overall Risk of 1 or "negligible".

GMAC also took caution and discussed a few of the hazards that required further evaluation and data acquisition. Some of these risks are expected to be managed effectively with the risk management strategies proposed (please refer to section IV of this document).

Some of the potential hazards are highlighted below along with the appropriate management strategies:

**a) Accidental release of viable seeds**

Seeds may be accidentally released during transportation. These seeds can germinate and grow along transportation routes and in areas surrounding storage and processing facilities (JBK Report Number No. 04, 2015). In the conducive warm and humid climate of Malaysia, there is a high likelihood of these volunteers maturing to the flowering and seed-setting stages. Although corn is not grown as an economic crop in Malaysia and there are no wild relatives, some varieties of baby corn and sweet corn are cultivated on small scales. Thus, there is a likelihood of outcrossing of the GM corn with these cultivated corns. Repeated cycles of spill-and-growth also increase the likelihood for the development of feral GM populations.

**b) Planting of seeds**

Plants may be grown by uninformed farmers and perpetuated through small scale cultivations. These GM corn may pollinate the non-GM baby corn and/or sweetcorn. There should also be clear labeling of the product to state that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing, and is not to be used as planting material.

**c) Compromised Nutritional Content**

Compositional analyses of the forage and grain samples showed no significant difference in nutritional composition between MZHG0JG corn and conventional corn.

However, applicant is required to update the National Biosafety Board immediately if additional tests indicates potential adverse effects or the possible presence of toxin or allergenic proteins.

**IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval**

Based on the 21 potential hazards identified and assessed, GMAC has drawn up the following terms and conditions to be included in the certificate of approval for the release of this product:

- a) There shall be clear documentation by the exporter describing the product which shall be declared to the Royal Malaysian Customs.
- b) There shall be clear labeling of the product from importation down to all levels of marketing stating that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing and is not to be used as planting material.

- c) Should the approved person receive any credible and/or scientifically proven information that indicates any adverse effect of MZHG0JG corn, the National Biosafety Board authority shall be informed immediately.
- d) Any spillage (during loading/unloading/transportation) shall be collected and cleaned up immediately.
- e) Transportation of the consignment from the port of entry to any destination within the country shall be in secured and closed condition.

## ***V - Other Regulatory Considerations***

- a) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety, Royal Malaysian Customs Department and relevant agencies to ensure accurate declaration of product information and clear labeling of the product is implemented.
- b) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) to impose post entry requirements for accidental spillage involving the GM product.
- c) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) and other competent agencies to impose post entry requirements for food safety compliance.
- d) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out between the Department of Biosafety and the Department of Veterinary Services (DVS) so that any unanticipated adverse effects in animals caused by any consumption of the GM products shall be reported immediately.
- e) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out by Food Safety and Quality of Ministry of Health to monitor compliance to the Food Regulations 1985 for labelling of GM food.
- f) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between Department of Biosafety and Ministry of Health to ensure that glyphosate and glufosinate-ammonium residues in MZHG0JG corn consignments are below the maximum residual level established. It is recommended that importers are required to provide certificate of analysis for glyphosate and glufosinate-ammonium residues prior to shipment.

## ***VI - Identification of issues to be addressed for long term use release of this product***

- a) Continuous monitoring is required from the approved person to report any unanticipated adverse effect caused by the MZHG0JG corn.

## ***VII – Conclusion and Recommendation***

GMAC has conducted a thorough evaluation of the application for approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism herbicide-tolerant MZHG0JG corn and has determined that the release of this product does not endanger biological diversity or human, animal and plant health. GMAC recommends that the proposed application for release be **APPROVED WITH TERMS AND CONDITIONS** as listed in section IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval.

## VIII - Bibliography

1. Aalberse, R.C. 2000. Structural biology of allergens. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*. 106: 228-238.
2. Astwood, J.D., J.N. Leach and R.L. Fuchs. 1996. Stability of food allergens to digestion in vitro. *Nature Biotechnology*. 14: 1269-1273.
3. Andersson, M.S. and de Vicente, M.C. 2010. Maize, Corn (*Zea mays* L.). Gene Flow between Crops and Their Wild Relatives. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, pp 255-291.
4. CFIA. 1994. The Biology of *Zea mays* (L.) (Maize). Canadian Food Inspection Agency, BIO1994-11.
5. Conner, A.J., Glare, T.R. and Nap, J.P. 2003. The release of genetically modified crops into the environment. Part II. Overview of ecological risk assessment. *The Plant Journal* 33: 19-46.
6. Codex Alimentarius Commission, 2009. Foods derived from modern biotechnology. [www.fao.org/docrep/011/a1554e/a1554e00.htm](http://www.fao.org/docrep/011/a1554e/a1554e00.htm).
7. de Vries, J. and Wackernagel, W. 2004. Microbial horizontal gene transfer and the DNA release from transgenic crop plants. *Plant and Soil* 266: 91-104.
8. FAO-WHO. 1991. Strategies for assessing the safety of foods produced by biotechnology. *Report of joint FAO/WHO consultation*. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
9. FAO-WHO. 2001. Evaluation of allergenicity of genetically modified foods. *Report of a joint FAO/WHO expert consultation on allergenicity of foods derived from biotechnology*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
10. FAOSTAT. 2016. Food and Agricultural Organization statistical database. Statistics for Most produced commodities in the world (Cereals) from the year 1993-2013 (average in million tonnes) Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, New York, New York. <http://faostat3.fao.org/browse/Q/QC/E> [Accessed February 11, 2016].



11. Hérouet C, Esdaile DJ, Mallyon BA, Debruyne E, Schulz A, Currier T, Hendrickx K, van der Klis RJ, Rouan D. 2005. Safety evaluation of the phosphinothricin acetyltransferase proteins encoded by the pat and bar sequences that confer tolerance to glufosinate-ammonium herbicide in transgenic plants. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* 41:134–149.
12. Herouet-Guicheney C, Rouquié D, Freyssinet M, Currier T, Martone A, Zhou J, Bates E E.M, Ferullo JM, Hendrickx K, Rouan D. 2009. Safety evaluation of the double mutant 5-enol pyruvylshikimate-3- phosphate synthase (2mEPSPS) from maize that confers tolerance to glyphosate herbicide in transgenic plants. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* 54:143–153.
13. Hong, B., Fisher, T.L., Sult, T.S., Maxwell, C.A., Mickelson, J.A., Kishino, H. and Locke, M.E.H. 2014. Model-Based Tolerance Intervals Derived from Cumulative Historical Composition Data: Application for Substantial Equivalence Assessment of a Genetically Modified Crop. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 62: 9916-9926.
14. ILSI. 2011a. A Review of the Environmental Safety of the CP4 EPSPS Protein. Washington DC: Center for Environmental Risk Assessment, International Life Sciences Institute Research Foundation. [http://cera-gmc.org/docs/cera\\_publications/pub\\_01\\_2010.pdf](http://cera-gmc.org/docs/cera_publications/pub_01_2010.pdf) (accessed June 14, 2016).
15. ILSI. 2011b. A Review of the Environmental Safety of the PAT Protein. Center for Environmental Risk Assessment, International Life Sciences Institute Research Foundation. [http://ceragmc.org/docs/cera\\_publications/pub\\_05\\_2011.pdf](http://ceragmc.org/docs/cera_publications/pub_05_2011.pdf) (accessed June 14, 2016).
16. JBK Report No. 4 (2015) Germination Rate of GM Corn and GM Soya seeds that are imported into Malaysia for the purpose of food, feed and processing”.
17. Keese, P.2008. Risks from GMOs due to horizontal gene transfer. *Environ Biosafety Res.* 2008; 7:123–149. doi: 10.1051/ebr:2008014.
18. Luna, V.S., Figueroa, M.J., Baltazar, M.B., Gomez, L.R., Townsend, R. and Schoper, J.B. 2001. Maize Pollen Longevity and Distance Isolation Requirements for Effective Pollen Control. *Crop Science* 41: 1551-1557.
19. Messeguer, J., Peñas, G., Ballester, J., Bas, M., Serra, J., Salvia, J., Palaudelmàs, M. and Melé, E. 2006. Pollen-mediated gene flow in maize in real situations of coexistence. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 4: 633-645.

20. Metcalfe, D.D., J.D. Astwood, R. Townsend, H.A. Sampson, S.L. Taylor and R.L. Fuchs. 1996. Assessment of the allergenic potential of foods derived from genetically engineered crop plants. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. 36: S165-S186.
21. Newcomb, M.D. 1995. *Corn and animal nutrition in the United States*. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Washington, D.C.
22. Nielsen, K.M. 1998. Barriers to horizontal gene transfer by natural transformation in soil bacteria. *APMIS* 106: 77-84
23. Nielsen, K.M., Bones, A.M., Smalla, K. and van Elsas, J.D. 1998. Horizontal gene transfer from transgenic plants to terrestrial bacteria – a rare event? *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 22: 79-103.
24. OECD (1999) Consensus document on general information concerning the genes and their enzymes that confer tolerance to phosphinothricin herbicide. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, ENV/JM/MONO(99)13.
25. OECD. 2002. Consensus document on compositional considerations for new varieties of maize (*Zea mays*): Key food and feed nutrients, anti-nutrients and secondary plant metabolites. ENV/JM/MONO (2002)25. *Series on the Safety of Novel Foods and Feeds*, No. 6. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France.
26. OECD. 2003. Consensus Document on the Biology of *Zea mays* subsp. *mays* (Maize). Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, ENV/JM/MONO(2003)11.
27. Raybould, A., Wilkinson, M.J. 2005. Assessing the environmental risks of gene flow from GM crops to wild relatives. In GM Poppy, MJ Wilkinson, eds, *Gene flow from GM plants*. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, pp 169-185.
28. Shaw, R.H. 1988. Climate Requirement. In GF Sprague, ed, *Corn and Corn Improvement*, Ed 3. ASA-CSSA-SSSA, Madison, pp 609-638.
29. Van Eenennaam, A.L. and Young, A.E. 2014. Prevalence and impacts of genetically engineered feedstuffs on livestock populations. *Journal of Animal Science* 92: 4255-4278.

30. White, P.J. and E.J. Weber. 2003. Lipids of the kernel. Pages 355-405 in *Corn: Chemistry and Technology*. Second Edition. P.J. White and L.A. Johnson (eds.). American Association of Cereal Chemists, Inc., St. Paul, Minnesota.

**GENETIC MODIFICATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC) MEMBERS INVOLVED IN  
RISK ASSESSMENT FOR THE APPROVAL FOR RELEASE OF PRODUCTS OF  
MZHGOJG CORN FOR SUPPLY OR OFFER TO SUPPLY**

Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC) members divided the task of looking up more information for the Risk Assessment matrix based on three broad categories which were environment, human health and animal health. The GMAC members involved in the risk assessment are as below:

- **Dr. Ahmad Parveez bin Hj Ghulam Kadir (Malaysian Palm Oil Board)  
(GMAC Chairman)**
- **Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd. Faiz Foong bin Abdullah (Universiti Teknologi MARA)  
(Environment sub-committee leader)**
- **Madam T.S. Saraswathy (Institute for Medical Research)  
(Human health sub-committee leader)**
- **Prof. Dr Jothi Malar Panandam (Universiti Putra Malaysia)  
(Animal health sub-committee leader)**
- Dato' Dr. Sim Soon Liang (Sarawak Biodiversity Centre)
- Dr. Rahizan binti Issa (Institute for Medical Research)
- Dr. Kodi Isparan Kandasamy (Malaysian Bioeconomy Development Corporation Sdn. Bhd.)
- Madam Atikah binti Abdul Kadir Jailani (Department of Agriculture)
- Dr. Norliza Tendot binti Abu Bakar (Malaysian Agricultural Research & Development Institute)
- Dr. Adiratna binti Mat Ripen (Institute for Medical Research)
- Dr. Norwati binti Muhammad (Forest Research Institute of Malaysia)
- Madam Laila Rabaah binti Ahmad Suhaimi (Ministry of Health)
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Chan Kok Gan (Universiti Malaya)
- Prof. Dr. Abd Rahman Milan (Universiti Malaysia Sabah)
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Choong Chee Yen (Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia)
- Dr. Teo Tze Min (Entomological Society of Malaysia)
- Dr Saifullizam bin Abd Kadir (Department of Veterinary Services Malaysia)
- Madam Elliza binti Mat Noor (Department of Chemistry Malaysia)